



MANAGING FOR RESILIENT ECOSYSTEMS: EXAMINING THE OPTIONS

Jeanne C. Chambers







ECOSYSTEMS IN TRANSITION

Landscape Changes ~

- Cheatgrass conversion (>6%)
 80% susceptible; 45% of that area moderate to high risk
- Pinyon and juniper expansion (>10%)
 2-6 fold increase since 1860; canopy closure in next 50 yrs
- Larger and more frequent fires

Management Goals & Actions ~

 Increase resilience of native ecosystems to stress and disturbance and enhance resistance to invasive species









Primary Invaders	Cheatgrass Red brome Annual forbs	Cheatgrass Medusahead Ann/Per Forbs	Cheatgrass Japanese bro Ann/Per Forbs	Lower Elevation Species
Historic Fire	Almost Never	200-350	50-70; 150-300	Rare
Current	1	70 -160	70-160?	?

(Baker et al. 2011; Balch et al. 2012)

RESILIENCE TO DISTURBANCE





Resilience changes over environmental gradients

- Productivity & more favorable growing conditions
- Highest for mountain big sage and mountain brush
- Lowest for salt desert and alpine

(Wisdom & Chambers 2009; Brooks and Chambers 2011; Condon et al. 2011; Chambers et al in process.)

RESILIENCE TO DISTURBANCE





Resilience decreases with disturbance/stress outside of historic range of variability

- Changes in vegetation structure or composition
 - Perennial grass/forb
 - Woody species
 - Invasive species
- Altered fire regimes
 Severity, extent,

frequency

RESISTANCE TO CHEATGRASS





Resistance reflects a species fundamental & realized niche

- Fundamental niche determined by environment
- Lowest- salt desert and alpine
- Highest- Wyoming sage
- Realized niche determined by species interactions
 - (competition/facilitation)

RESISTANCE TO CHEATGRASS





Resistance decreases with disturbance/stress

- Increases in resource availability
- Removal 2 to 3 fold
- ▶ Burning 2 to 6 fold
- Removal + Burning –10 to 30 fold
- Perennial grasses and forbs best competitors

SAGEBRUSH TREATMENT EVALUATION PROJECT





Cool & Wet



Black Sagebrush Wyoming Sagebrush Mountain Sagebrush

Warm & Dry

STATE AND TRANSITION MODEL STATE I - WYOMING/MOUNTAIN SAGE



Highest resilience and resistance

- Lower treatment severity
- Sufficient perennial grasses and forbs to facilitate recovery

Caveats

- Cheatgrass will probably always occur on Wyoming sage and warmer mountain big sage sites given a seed source
- > Wyoming sage highest risk of cheatgrass dominance after fire
- > Overgrazing post treatment can result in cheatgrass dominance



Cool mountain big sagebrush and Idaho fescue site

3 years post-burn





Black sagebrush/Wyoming sagebrush and bluebunch wheatgrass site 5 years post-treatment

STATE II – WYOMING/MOUNTAIN SAGE



Lowest resilience and resistance

- High treatment severity
- Insufficient grasses and forbs to facilitate recovery

Caveats

- Effects of mechanical vs fire will depend on site conditions
- Revegetation can be difficult
- Livestock management necessary



Warm mountain big sagebrush and Idaho fescue site

5 years post burn

LANDSCAPE APPROACH

- First → assess environmental characteristics, vegetation types and ecological conditions at management scales
- Second → prioritize management activities at both site and landscape scales based on ecosystem resilience and resistance
 - ~ Protection, Prevention and Restoration
- Third → monitor outcome and adapt management



PROTECTION

- Areas with inherently low resistance or resilience (salt desert, Wyoming sage, subalpine and alpine)
- Areas of high conservation value
- Eliminate stressors like repeated fire and inappropriate livestock grazing
- Control surface disturbances and invasion corridors
- Increase efforts to detect and eradicate invasives
- Create refugia for all ecosystem types





PREVENTION - VEGETATION TREATMENTS

- Areas with moderate to high resilience and especially resistance
- Wet and cool Wyoming & mountain sage
- Adequate perennial herbaceous species and shrubs to ensure recovery
- Consider disturbance severity
- Fire eliminates all fire intolerant species, increases resources for invasive species
- Mechanical treatments that remove only trees, less effect on resources
- *Minimize other stresses*





TRANSFORMATIVE/ADAPTIVE RESTORATION

- Most successful prior to invasive plant dominance
- Post-fire rehabilitation, fire breaks adjacent to intact areas, WUI areas, critical habitat for T&E species



- ➤ Climate Change → species adapted to drier and warmer conditions; assisted migration (climate suitability;seed zone shifts)
- ► Invasive species → different phenologies and rooting depths (must compete with annual and perennial invaders)
 - → Weed Suppressive Bacteria Seed Bank Fungal Pathogens?
- Fire → species tolerant of fire and capable of soil stabilization (perennial, root-sprouting, rhizomatous)
- Address the establishment bottleneck

MONITOR AND ADAPT

 Implement comprehensive monitoring to track landscape change & management outcomes



- Regional network of monitoring sites that includes major environmental gradients
- Monitoring of all major land treatments
- Common data base for all monitoring results (Land Treatment Digital Library)
- Monitoring products that track change across Region

Transcend agency boundaries

EMBRACE CHANGE

- Increasing resilience and resistance to invasives best management option
- Both protection and prevention important
- Transformative restoration & assisted migration require greater emphasis
- Comprehensive monitoring essential
- Increase capacity to address emerging issues
- Improve funding mechanisms & increase flexibility
- Mobilize research and management teams

Emphasize collaboration and information sharing



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- Bethany Bradley
- Bob Blank
- Dave Board
- Matt Brooks
- Lea Condon
- Carla D'Antonio
- Jessica Dhaemers
- Matt Germino
- Jim Grace
- Stuart Hardegree
- Susan Meyer
- Rick Miller
- Mike Pellant
- Dave Pyke
- Bruce Roundy
- Robin Tausch
- Peter Weisberg
- Alison Whitaker
- Mike Wisdom



Nolan Preece

Sagebrush Treatment Evaluation Project - JFSP

Exotic Bromus Grasses in the Western US: Current and future invasions, impacts, and management - USDA AFRI REENet

Integrating ecological forecasting methods to improve prioritization of invasive species management – USGS Powell Center